#### ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS

# Congress of the United States

# House of Representatives

#### COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE

2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115

Majority (202) 225–2927 Minority (202) 225–3641

October 12, 2016

Mr. Richard D. Shockey Principal Shockey Consulting Silver Fox Lane Reston, VA 20191

Dear Mr. Shockey:

Thank you for appearing before the Subcommittee on Communications and Technology on September 22, 2016, to testify at the hearing entitled "Modernizing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act."

Pursuant to the Rules of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the hearing record remains open for ten business days to permit Members to submit additional questions for the record, which are attached. The format of your responses to these questions should be as follows: (1) the name of the Member whose question you are addressing, (2) the complete text of the question you are addressing in bold, and (3) your answer to that question in plain text.

Also attached are Member requests made during the hearing. The format of your responses to these requests should follow the same format as your responses to the additional questions for the record.

To facilitate the printing of the hearing record, please respond to these questions and requests with a transmittal letter by the close of business on Wednesday, October 26, 2016. Your responses should be mailed to Greg Watson, Legislative Clerk, Committee on Energy and Commerce, 2125 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515 and e-mailed in Word format to Greg, Watson@mail.house.gov.

Thank you again for your time and effort preparing and delivering testimony before the Subcommittee.

Sincerely,

Greg Walden Chairman

Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

cc: Anna G. Eshoo Ranking Member, Subcommittee on Communications and Technology

Attachments

### Attachment 1—Additional Questions for the Record

#### The Honorable Greg Walden

- 1. In an August Reuters article, Alison Frankel discusses "Professional' robocall plaintiffs and the 'zone of interest' defense", specifically pointing out "businesses" started by individuals to profit off of filing TCPA lawsuits. When the law was enacted, do you believe its intent was to encourage these plaintiffs and the businesses that benefit from them?
- 2. The Do Not Call Section of the TCPA states: "It shall be an affirmative defense in any action brought under this paragraph that the defendant has established and implemented, with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent communications in violation of the regulations prescribed under this subsection." Do you believe this affirmative defense should also be applied to the Private Right of Action section? Why or why not?

## Attachment 2—Member Requests for the Record

During the hearing, Members asked you to provide additional information for the record, and you indicated that you would provide that information. For your convenience, descriptions of the requested information are provided below.

#### The Honorable Marsha Blackburn

1. In your opinion, what are three things Congress make certain we change in the TCPA when updating the Act?